UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,960	02/23/2007	Per Olof Magnus Magnusson	P19069-US1	8466
27045 ERICSSON INC	7590 07/06/200 C.	9	EXAM	IINER
6300 LEGACY	DRIVE	CHACKO, JOE		
M/S EVR 1-C-1 PLANO, TX 75			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2456	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/597,960	MAGNUSSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOE CHACKO	2456	

	JOE CHACKO	2456	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	on the same day as filing a Notion g replies: (1) an amendment, af peal (with appeal fee) in compli	ce of Appeal. To avoid abar fidavit, or other evidence, w ance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set later than SIX MONTHS from the r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE	mailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding and e shortened statutory period for repl er than three months after the maili	nount of the fee. The appropria ly originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see low); etter form for appeal by materia	e NOTE below); ally reducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	121. See attached Notice of Noss):		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an ex	oplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the a	ffidavit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered be 		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	, , , , , ,		se because.
/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2456	/J. C./ Examiner, Art Unit	2456	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicant's argument that the Oda reference does not disclose the network control function entity to access and modify the decision data memory independently from the mobile station, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the argument.

The Oda reference does disclose a second interface in the form of a network interface to connect to the local IP network (fig. 4, 401, IP network interface) and further connecting to a CPS, a control proxy server, in the IP network as is apparent from fig. 5. From this figure, it is clear that the CPS is a separate and independent entity which is not embedded in the mobile terminal. Though the CPS is independent it must be connected to the mobile terminal in the network to receive the data from the mobile station for processing which includes the IP packet received which is similar to the decision data recited in the claim. Therefore, contrary to the Applicant's argument, the Koskiahde reference in view of the Veerapalli reference in further view of Oda reference does disclose the limitation of the claim.